

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff-Applicant,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT
SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-1789 (SMB)

SIPA Liquidation

(Substantively Consolidated)

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

**ORDER GRANTING TRUSTEE’S TWENTY-FIFTH OMNIBUS MOTION TO
OVERRULE OBJECTIONS OF CLAIMANTS WHO INVESTED
MORE THAN THEY WITHDREW**

Upon the motion (the “Motion”) (ECF No. 18117), by Irving H. Picard, trustee (“Trustee”) for the substantively consolidated liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC (“BLMIS”) and the chapter 7 estate of Bernard L. Madoff (“Madoff”) (collectively, “Debtor”), in the above-captioned SIPA liquidation proceeding seeking to have the Court overrule objections filed by or on behalf of customers that invested more money with BLMIS than they withdrew and are thus, in the parlance of this case, net losers (collectively, the “Claimants”), and affirm the Trustee’s Claims¹ determinations; and the Claims determinations to be affirmed and Objections to be overruled are identified in Exhibit A to the Declaration of Vineet Sehgal (the “Sehgal

¹ All capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed in the Motion.

Declaration”) (ECF No. 18118), attached to the Motion as Exhibit A; and due and proper notice of the Motion having been given and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and the Trustee having filed a certificate of no objection representing that no objection has been received and that no party has indicated to the Trustee that it intends to oppose the relief requested in the Motion; and the Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the Motion as set forth herein is in the best interests of the Debtor, its estate, creditors, and all parties in interest; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is

ORDERED that the relief requested in the Motion is granted to the extent provided herein; and it is further

ORDERED that the Trustee’s Claims determinations regarding the Claims listed on Exhibit A hereto under the heading “Claims and Objections”, are affirmed; and it is further

ORDERED that the Objections listed on Exhibit A hereto under the heading “Claims and Objections”, are overruled; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to this Order.

Dated: **November 27th, 2018**
New York, New York

/s/ STUART M. BERNSTEIN
HONORABLE STUART M. BERNSTEIN
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

IN RE: BLMIS. CASE NO: 08-01789 (SMB)

TWENTY-FIFTH OMNIBUS MOTION: EXHIBIT A – CLAIMS AND OBJECTIONS

Objection Party	Claim Number	Objection To Determination Docket Number	Counsel	Account Name	Account Number
Albert J Goldstein U/W FBO Ruth E Goldstein TTEE	009316	398	Milberg LLP	Albert J Goldstein U/W FBO Ruth E Goldstein TTEE	1ZA736
NTC & Co. FBO Harold A Thau (45159)	009523	451	Milberg LLP	NTC & Co. FBO Harold A Thau (45159)	1ZR261
NTC & Co. FBO Harold A Thau (45159)	009523	1791	Milberg LLP	NTC & Co. FBO Harold A Thau (45159)	1ZR261
Richard B. and Deborah Felder	001513	3865	Pro Se Filing	Richard B Felder And Deborah Felder TIC	1ZA249